



PUBLIC TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2024

Nexus

Generated 25-11-2024

About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors, for investors.

PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The public Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, provide accountability and support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices and to discuss these with their clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This public Transparency Report is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2024 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to core indicators, as well as responses to plus indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised signatories' responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options selected by the signatory are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Legal Context

PRI recognises that the laws and regulations to which signatories are subject differ by jurisdiction. We do not seek or require any signatory to take an action that is not in compliance with applicable laws. All signatory responses should therefore be understood to be subject to and informed by the legal and regulatory context in which the signatory operates.

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2024 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented. The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	8
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	17
FIXED INCOME (FI)	43
PRIVATE EQUITY (PE)	50
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	59

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

Responsible investment is part of our DNA. We are convinced that sustainability practices will improve our investment ecosystem and enhance investors' returns. It also forms part of our fiduciary duty in a context where our investors actively work to align their financial and sustainability objectives. It is Nexus' investment belief that incorporating social, environmental, and corporate governance (ESG) factors in our investment theses and the management of our portfolio companies contributes to value creation. We also believe it is an effective way to manage and mitigate risks in our investment activity.

We understand that acting as responsible investors is a gradual process of incorporating best practices and a process of continuous improvement to which we are committed. We believe our activity must actively contribute to achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), and we are working and investing resources to align our actions to make this possible. We believe our contributions are strongest to Gender Equality (SDG 5), Decent Work and Economic Growth (SDG 8), Reduce Inequalities (SDG 10), and Climate Action (SDG 13).

In our investment processes, we aim to comply with best global practices as responsible investors. We have made our commitment to responsible investment public by adhering to the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment and developing a responsible investment methodology that applies to the entire investment cycle.

We believe an adequate governance structure is necessary to respond adequately to the commitments assumed as a responsible investor. For this, (i) we train investment teams in sustainability matters, and (ii) we have senior team members in both México and Spain in charge of executing the sustainability strategy.

With respect to our climate engagements, fact-finding efforts can include pushing companies for better disclosure or seeking to better understand the capex/opex implications of carbon emission reduction targets. Engagements may involve more contextual situations where we urge changes in capital allocation, strategy, or governance. We believe both types of corporate engagement address climate risks and opportunities while simultaneously unlocking shareholder value.

Climate change is addressed within our sustainability strategy and through our efforts in integration, engagement, advocacy, and investment solutions. Our approach to climate change is closely aligned with the PRI Investor Agenda, an initiative that allows investors to showcase their contribution in transitioning capital to low-carbon opportunities and encourages action across four areas: investments, corporate engagement, investor disclosure, and policy advocacy.

- Sustainability in the investment process: Integrating material sustainability issues into the investment process, including due diligence and management practices as appropriate.

- Value creation: Working with portfolio companies to strengthen sustainability performance and drive value.
- Promoting transparency: Enhancing ESG-related disclosures and fostering cross-industry collaboration to adopt best practices.

We also provide regular and transparent sustainability information to our investors and stakeholders by completing the annual UN PRI Transparency Report. In 2023, we published our first sustainability report in line with TCFD Methodology and SASB standards.

Looking forward, we know we must keep making the necessary efforts to further advance in integrating climate-related risks and opportunities into our processes. We anticipate this will include ongoing training and education and the development of new tools and resources. We also recognize that our industry still has a lot of work to do to integrate climate change into governance and decision-making.

We look forward to collaborating with and learning from peers on this topic and engaging in industry-wide efforts to drive even more responsible investing.

Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
 - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
 - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
 - collaborative engagements
 - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

Sustainability data collection: 100% on current private debt portfolio and 100% on real estate investments

100% of new deals go through the sustainability due diligence process

66% of private debt new deals include the enforceability of implementing an annual sustainability action plan

Regarding stewardship and engagement activities with investees, we conducted the sustainability assessment process for 100% of the private debt fund companies, mainly SMEs. We identified a need for sensitization and training regarding the impacts of climate change and water stress on their operations and a deeper understanding of the gender pay gap. Therefore, we distributed a template for the gender gap calculation and held meetings with each company to go through the climate risks detected and the alternatives to remediate those impacts, which were also included in their annual action plan. As a result, the universe of the 2023 action plans included:

86% actions on corporate governance

71% actions on gender pay gap

57% actions on water risk management

The Head of Sustainability participated in several engagements, events, and training, such as The Impact Investment Course from Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Mexican Taxonomy Training, TCFD training, and several training and working group sessions from Consejo Mexicano de Finanzas Sostenibles. Additionally, the investment team received its first training in gender equality from ProMujer.

In July 2024, Nexxus received an award for its responsible investment practices from ALAS20, a pioneering initiative in Latin America and Spain that, since 2014, comprehensively evaluates, qualifies, and recognizes excellence in the public dissemination of information on sustainable development practices, investor relations, and responsible investments by companies and investors. Currently, organizations from Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Spain, Mexico, and Peru participate in ALAS20. <https://www.alas20.com/resultados-2024/#tabs|4>.

Section 3. Next steps

■ What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

We plan to achieve our portfolio's targets in emission reductions not through divestment but by engaging with our companies to reduce their emissions, increase their exposure to better practices, and boost transparency. We are still exploring the best metrics and targets to calibrate physical impact and geopolitical risk. However, we have analyzed where we may have concentrated risks from the perspective of physical impacts induced by a changing climate and related geopolitical implications. We expect this reporting and target-setting area to evolve as data improves and climate adaptation investment requirements emerge.

As part of our efforts, we incorporate TCFD-aligned questions in our due diligence processes, such as:

- An overview of the company's governance for managing climate-related risks and opportunities
- Whether the company has evaluated and disclosed how climate-related issues may affect its strategy, business operations, and financial planning over the short, medium, and long-term
- The processes the company uses to identify, assess, and manage climate-related issues
- The climate-related metrics the company monitors
- Disclosure of emissions inventory

During 2023, we published our first sustainability report in line with the TCFD and SASB, including the Initial calculation of GHG emissions for Nexxus offices.

Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

Arturo José Saval Pérez

Position

Chairman of the Board of Directors

Organisation's Name

Nexus

● A

'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.

○ B

ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	30	12	2023

SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

- ☒ (A) Yes
☐ (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2.1	CORE	OO 2	OO 2.2	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Are any of your organisation's subsidiaries PRI signatories in their own right?

- ☒ (A) Yes
☐ (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2.2	CORE	OO 2.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

How many subsidiaries of your organisation are PRI signatories in their own rights?

- ☒ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5
- ☐ 6
- ☐ 7
- ☐ 8
- ☐ 9
- ☐ 10

List any subsidiaries of your organisation that are PRI signatories in their own right and indicate if the responsible investment activities of the listed subsidiaries will be reported in this submission.

(1) Yes, the responsible investment activities of this subsidiary will be included in this report

(2) No, the responsible investment activities of this subsidiary will be included in their separate report

(A) Signatory name: Nexxus Iberia

☐

☒

ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

USD

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries, and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 936,000,000.00

(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]

US\$ 449,000,000.00

(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 0.00

ASSET BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	OO 3	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	0%	0%
(B) Fixed income	>10-50%	0%
(C) Private equity	>75%	0%
(D) Real estate	0%	0%
(E) Infrastructure	0%	0%
(F) Hedge funds	0%	0%
(G) Forestry	0%	0%
(H) Farmland	0%	0%
(I) Other	0%	0%
(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 FI	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed fixed income	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed fixed income AUM.

(A) Passive – SSA 0%

(B) Passive – corporate 0%

(C) Active – SSA 0%

(D) Active – corporate 0%

(E) Securitised 0%

(F) Private debt >75%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED PRIVATE EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 PE	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed private equity	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed private equity AUM.

(A) Venture capital 0%

(B) Growth capital >75%

(C) (Leveraged) buy-out 0%

(D) Distressed, turnaround or
special situations 0%

(E) Secondaries 0%

(F) Other 0%

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 7	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(E) Fixed income – private debt	(12) 100%
(F) Private equity	(8) >60 to 70%

STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

(3) Fixed income - active

(5) Private equity

(A) Yes, through internal staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Yes, through service providers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Yes, through external managers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

ESG INCORPORATION

INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, into your investment decisions?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions
(H) Fixed income - private debt	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(I) Private equity	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

- ☐ (A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable
- ☒ (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- ☐ (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

Additional information: (Voluntary)

All our investment processes are guided by the responsible investment policy

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

Applicable modules	(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Confidence Building Measures	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(H) Fixed income – private debt	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(I) Private equity	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

OTHER ASSET BREAKDOWNS

PRIVATE EQUITY: SECTORS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 22	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Private equity: Sectors	GENERAL

In which sector(s) are your internally managed private equity assets invested?

- ☐ (A) Energy
- ☐ (B) Materials
- ☒ (C) **Industrials**
- ☒ (D) **Consumer discretionary**
- ☐ (E) Consumer staples
- ☐ (F) Healthcare
- ☐ (G) Financials
- ☐ (H) Information technology
- ☐ (I) Communication services
- ☐ (J) Utilities

PRIVATE EQUITY: OWNERSHIP LEVEL

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 23	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Private equity: Ownership level	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your internally managed private equity investments by the level of ownership?

- ☐ (A) A majority stake (more than 50%)
- ☒ (B) A significant minority stake (between 10–50%)
- Select from the list:
- ☐ (1) >0 to 10%
 - ☒ (2) >10 to 50%
 - ☐ (3) >50 to 75%
 - ☐ (4) >75%
- ☐ (C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%)

SUBMISSION INFORMATION

REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 3, OO 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- ☐ (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- ☒ (B) Publish as ranges

POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- ☒ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☒ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☒ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☒ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☒ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- ☒ (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- ☒ (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- ☐ (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- ☒ (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- ☐ (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- ☐ (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- ☐ (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here
 - (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- ☒ (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- ☒ (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- ☒ (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues

Specify:

Social practices in their workforce, corporate governance practices, and other environmental indicators such as water and waste management

- (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

☒ **(A) Overall approach to responsible investment**

Add link:

<https://www.nexuscapital.com/responsible-investment-policy/>

☒ **(B) Guidelines on environmental factors**

Add link:

<https://www.nexuscapital.com/responsible-investment-policy/>

☒ **(C) Guidelines on social factors**

Add link:

<https://www.nexuscapital.com/responsible-investment-policy/>

☒ **(D) Guidelines on governance factors**

Add link:

<https://www.nexuscapital.com/responsible-investment-policy/>

☐ **(E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes**

☒ **(F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)**

Add link:

<https://www.nexuscapital.com/responsible-investment-policy/>

☐ **(G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)**

☐ **(H) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues**

☒ **(I) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold**

Add link:

<https://www.nexuscapital.com/responsible-investment-policy/>

☒ **(J) Guidelines on exclusions**

Add link:

<https://www.nexuscapital.com/responsible-investment-policy/>

☒ **(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees**

Add link:

<https://www.nexuscapital.com/responsible-investment-policy/>

☐ **(Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 4	PLUS	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1 – 6

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) identify a link between your responsible investment activities and your fiduciary duties or equivalent obligations?

☒ **(A) Yes**

Elaborate:

Section one of our Responsible Investment Policy includes the following: We understand that acting as responsible investors is part of our fiduciary duty in a context in which our investors actively work to align their financial and sustainability objectives.

We know that acting as responsible investors is a gradual process of incorporating best practices and a method of continuous improvement to which we are committed.

☐ **(B) No**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 5	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Which elements are covered in your organisation's policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

- ☒ **(A) Overall stewardship objectives**
- ☒ **(B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities**
- ☒ **(C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts**
- ☐ (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation
- ☐ (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship
- ☐ (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship
- ☐ (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship
- ☐ (H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- ☐ (I) Other
- ☐ (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	
(B) Guidelines on environmental factors	(7) 100%
(C) Guidelines on social factors	
(D) Guidelines on governance factors	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 9	CORE	PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

AUM coverage

(A) Specific guidelines on climate change	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Specific guidelines on human rights	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues	(1) for all of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

☒ **(B) Fixed income**

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- ☐ (1) >0% to 10%
- ☐ (2) >10% to 20%
- ☐ (3) >20% to 30%
- ☐ (4) >30% to 40%
- ☐ (5) >40% to 50%
- ☐ (6) >50% to 60%
- ☐ (7) >60% to 70%
- ☐ (8) >70% to 80%
- ☐ (9) >80% to 90%
- ☐ (10) >90% to <100%

☒ **(11) 100%**

☒ **(C) Private equity**

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- ☐ (1) >0% to 10%
- ☐ (2) >10% to 20%
- ☐ (3) >20% to 30%
- ☐ (4) >30% to 40%
- ☐ (5) >40% to 50%
- ☐ (6) >50% to 60%
- ☐ (7) >60% to 70%
- ☐ (8) >70% to 80%
- ☐ (9) >80% to 90%
- ☐ (10) >90% to <100%

☒ **(11) 100%**

GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

☒ **(A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent**

☒ **(B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent**

Specify:

Board of Directors

☒ **(C) Investment committee, or equivalent**

Specify:

☒ (D) Head of department, or equivalent

Specify department:

Head of Sustainability

- (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?

	(1) Board members, trustees, or equivalent	(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent
(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(F) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(G) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(H) Guidelines on exclusions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)

○

○

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1 – 6

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

☒ (A) Yes

Describe how you do this:

We have a Code of Ethics and Conduct, which rules over our team's activities and defines a process to manage violations of our policies. The Board of Directors has final oversight of any incidents of possible policy violations, and all complaints are managed with the utmost professionalism.

- (B) No
- (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

☒ (A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

The responsibility for identifying, assessing, and managing sustainability risks and opportunities lies with the investment teams, which partner with Nexxus' subject matter expert, the Head of Sustainability, who also regularly engages with portfolio companies and external stakeholders on ESG matters.

- ☐ (B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers
- (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 13	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your board members, trustees, or equivalent?

- (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

● (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

Explain why: (Voluntary)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

○ (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

● (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Explain why: (Voluntary)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 15	PLUS	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

What responsible investment competencies do you regularly include in the training of senior-level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation?

	(1) Board members, trustees or equivalent	(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department or equivalent
(A) Specific competence in climate change mitigation and adaptation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Specific competence in investors' responsibility to respect human rights	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Specific competence in other systematic sustainability issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) The regular training of this senior leadership role does not include any of the above responsible investment competencies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

- ☒ (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
- ☒ (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- ☒ (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- ☒ (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- ☒ (E) Climate-related commitments
- ☒ (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- ☐ (G) Human rights-related commitments
- ☐ (H) Progress towards human rights-related commitments
- ☒ (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- ☒ (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- ☐ (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

- ☐ (A) Yes, including all governance-related recommended disclosures
- ☐ (B) Yes, including all strategy-related recommended disclosures
- ☐ (C) Yes, including all risk management-related recommended disclosures
- ☒ (D) Yes, including all applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
- ☐ (E) None of the above

Add link(s):

<https://www.nexuscapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/Nexus-Sustainability-Report-2022.pdf>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 18	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, to which international responsible investment standards, frameworks, or regulations did your organisation report?

- ☐ (A) Disclosures against the European Union's Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR)
- ☐ (B) Disclosures against the European Union's Taxonomy
- ☐ (C) Disclosures against the CFA's ESG Disclosures Standard
- ☒ **(D) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations**

Specify:

TCFD and SASB

Link to example of public disclosures

<https://www.nexuscapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/Nexus-Sustainability-Report-2022.pdf>

- ☐ (E) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations
- ☐ (F) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations
- ☐ (G) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

- ☒ **(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement**

Add link(s):

<https://www.nexuscapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/Nexus-Sustainability-Report-2022.pdf>

- ☐ (B) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- ☐ (C) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year

STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- ☐ (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services
- ☐ (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- ☒ (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact
- ☐ (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- ☐ (E) Other elements
- ☐ (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- ☒ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
 - Select from dropdown list:
 - ☐ (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
 - ☒ (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
 - ☐ (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- ☐ (B) We incorporate climate change–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- ☐ (C) We incorporate human rights–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- ☐ (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- ☐ (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- ☐ (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(2) Fixed income	(3) Private equity
(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 23	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

How does your organisation, or the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, prioritise the investees or other entities on which to focus its stewardship efforts?

All engagements with the investees are conducted by internal personnel; therefore, the stewardship efforts are ruled by periodical interactions, which enable us to have a better view of their operation and needs regarding sustainability topics. The process is coordinated by the Head of Sustainability using the responsible investment methodology, publicly available in our website <https://www.nexuscapital.com/responsible-investment-policy/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?

- ☒ (A) We recognise the value of collective action, and as a result, we prioritise collaborative stewardship efforts wherever possible
- ☐ (B) We collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- ☐ (C) Other
- ☐ (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24.1	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Elaborate on your organisation's default position on collaborative stewardship, or the position of the external service providers or external investment managers acting on your behalf, including any other details on your overall approach to collaboration.

Our Responsible Investment Policy guides our investment process and directs our efforts to integrate sustainability risks and value-creation opportunities, including climate change, into our investment processes. We take a materiality-based approach to integrating sustainability topics into the investment processes across asset classes. To identify and assess material risks, we use industry-specific issues identified by the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) and the TCFD framework as primary inputs. Some differences in application between asset classes exist due to the nature of the investment and the level of influence we have over a company. We continue to evolve in integrating sustainability considerations into our private debt business, where there is a relative lack of data. Collaborative stewardship often occurs during the annual sustainability due diligence process, where the Head of Sustainability elaborates a report for each portfolio company, including sustainability risks and a five-action plan to be implemented during the next 12 months to tackle the significant risks. That report is our essential stewardship tool because it helps us open the conversation with clear KPIs and gives a clear view of the expected annual progress for each portfolio company.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 25	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Rank the channels that are most important for your organisation in achieving its stewardship objectives.

- ☒ (A) Internal resources, e.g. stewardship team, investment team, ESG team, or staff
Select from the list:
 - ☒ 1
 - ☐ 5
- ☐ (B) External investment managers, third-party operators and/or external property managers, if applicable

☒ (C) External paid specialist stewardship services (e.g. engagement overlay services or, in private markets, sustainability consultants) excluding investment managers, real assets third-party operators, or external property managers

Select from the list:

- ☒ 4
- ☐ 5

☒ (D) Informal or unstructured collaborations with investors or other entities

Select from the list:

- ☒ 3
- ☐ 5

☒ (E) Formal collaborative engagements, e.g. PRI-coordinated collaborative engagements, Climate Action 100+, or similar

Select from the list:

- ☒ 2
- ☐ 5

☐ (F) We do not use any of these channels

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 27	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

How are your organisation's stewardship activities linked to your investment decision making, and vice versa?

Stewardship activities feed the investment cycle and give us a broader sense of the controls and risk management within the portfolio companies.

STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 38	PLUS	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

Describe your approach to escalation for your SSA and/or private debt fixed income assets.

(B) Private debt - Approach to escalation

Since 2023, all new credits include the legal obligation to respond annually to the sustainability due diligence questionnaire and implement the resultant action plan, which needs to be agreed upon by both parties. The portfolio company signs a commitment letter including the actions, KPIs to disclose, and the corresponding deadlines. Failing to comply with the sustainability action plan will be considered as an event of default and Nexus will be entitled to enforce the credit/loan.

STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- ☒ (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly
- ☒ (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- ☒ (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
- ☐ (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.1	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

- ☐ (A) We participated in 'sign-on' letters
 - ☐ (B) We responded to policy consultations
 - ☐ (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups
 - ☐ (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative
 - ☒ (E) Other methods
- Describe:

We participate in the Mexican Stock Exchange (BIVA) working groups, the Mexican Council of Sustainable Finance (Consejo Mexicano de Finanzas Sostenibles), Mexican Taxonomy, Level 20, 30% Club, and Value 4 Women.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.2	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

- ☐ (A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions
- ☐ (B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers

● (C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year

Explain why:

It hasn't been included as part of our reporting scope.

STEWARDSHIP: EXAMPLES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 40	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Examples	2

Provide examples of stewardship activities that you conducted individually or collaboratively during the reporting year that contributed to desired changes in the investees, policy makers or other entities with which you interacted.

(A) Example 1:

Title of stewardship activity:

Annual Sustainability Due Diligence Process

(1) Led by

- (1) Internally led
- (2) External service provider led
- (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager

(2) Primary focus of stewardship activity

- ☑ (1) Environmental factors
- ☑ (2) Social factors
- ☑ (3) Governance factors

(3) Asset class(es)

- ☐ (1) Listed equity
- ☑ (2) Fixed income
- ☑ (3) Private equity
- ☑ (4) Real estate
- ☐ (5) Infrastructure
- ☐ (6) Hedge funds
- ☐ (7) Forestry
- ☐ (8) Farmland
- ☐ (9) Other

(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

Annual screening of sustainability risks through a due diligence questionnaire based on TCFD and SASB

(B) Example 2:

Title of stewardship activity:

Findings repor and action plan for each portfolio company

(1) Led by

- (1) Internally led
- (2) External service provider led
- (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager

(2) Primary focus of stewardship activity

- ☑ (1) Environmental factors
- ☑ (2) Social factors
- ☑ (3) Governance factors

(3) Asset class(es)

- ☐ (1) Listed equity

- ☒ (2) Fixed income
- ☐ (3) Private equity
- ☒ (4) Real estate
- ☐ (5) Infrastructure
- ☐ (6) Hedge funds
- ☐ (7) Forestry
- ☐ (8) Farmland
- ☐ (9) Other

(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

As a result of the due diligence process, we document the findings in a report, including an action plan to tackle the major risks in the next 12 months and the technical background for each action proposed. .

(C) Example 3:

Title of stewardship activity:

Participation in working groups and trainings

(1) Led by

- ☒ (1) Internally led
- ☐ (2) External service provider led
- ☐ (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager

(2) Primary focus of stewardship activity

- ☒ (1) Environmental factors
- ☒ (2) Social factors
- ☒ (3) Governance factors

(3) Asset class(es)

- ☐ (1) Listed equity
- ☒ (2) Fixed income
- ☒ (3) Private equity
- ☒ (4) Real estate
- ☐ (5) Infrastructure
- ☐ (6) Hedge funds
- ☐ (7) Forestry
- ☐ (8) Farmland
- ☐ (9) Other

(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

Active participation in working groups and trainings from PRI, TCFD, IFRS, Level 20, 30% Club, etc.

(D) Example 4:

Title of stewardship activity:

(1) Led by

- ☐ (1) Internally led
- ☐ (2) External service provider led
- ☐ (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager

(2) Primary focus of stewardship activity

- ☐ (1) Environmental factors
- ☐ (2) Social factors
- ☐ (3) Governance factors

(3) Asset class(es)

- ☐ (1) Listed equity
- ☐ (2) Fixed income
- ☐ (3) Private equity
- ☐ (4) Real estate
- ☐ (5) Infrastructure
- ☐ (6) Hedge funds
- ☐ (7) Forestry
- ☐ (8) Farmland
- ☐ (9) Other

(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

(E) Example 5:

Title of stewardship activity:

(1) Led by

- (1) Internally led
- (2) External service provider led
- (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager

(2) Primary focus of stewardship activity

- ☐ (1) Environmental factors
- ☐ (2) Social factors
- ☐ (3) Governance factors

(3) Asset class(es)

- ☐ (1) Listed equity
- ☐ (2) Fixed income
- ☐ (3) Private equity
- ☐ (4) Real estate
- ☐ (5) Infrastructure
- ☐ (6) Hedge funds
- ☐ (7) Forestry
- ☐ (8) Farmland
- ☐ (9) Other

(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

CLIMATE CHANGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

☒ **(A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon**

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

Nexus operations and properties are predominantly exposed to global regulation and the physical and transitional risks generated by climate change. These risks could lead to increased costs or disrupted operations and/or supply for our organization. We are aware that such risks, if not properly managed, have the potential to generate a significant financial effect on our assets, revenue and/or expenditure. Our own operations impact the environment and are also subject to both transition and physical risk. Efforts to manage risks and mitigate impacts are ongoing.

We are committed to integrating material sustainability topics as part of our investment thesis and due diligence, and to working with portfolio companies to enhance their sustainability programs and make improvements during the holding period. Each investment opportunity is unique, and we have a structured approach to help companies determine and focus on what is most material to them. This helps us address the diverse risks and opportunities across the various industries and regions where we invest.

We manage climate risk in our private equity and real estate portfolio by conducting sustainability due diligence on new deals where an initial screening indicates climate change could be material. We also engage with portfolio companies after the investment on managing physical and transition risks as appropriate.

For our private debt fund, initial sustainability due diligence is part of the credit underwriting process. A report of key findings is issued and presented to each company to agree on an annual action plan to improve their sustainability programs and help protect value.

- ☐ (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon
- ☐ (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

☒ **(A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities**

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

Nexus Sustainability Integration Process

1. Pre-investment: The process begins with an early screening of critical risks, aiming to identify linkage risks. We apply the European Development Finance Institutions (EDFI) exclusion list, which refers to activities, operations, or industries that raise critical environmental, social or reputational risks, including carbon-intensive activities.
2. Due Diligence: Evaluate and document material sustainability risks as part of the investment process. Formal documentation of sustainability considerations is required in all new deals.
3. Investment Committee: Include key risks and opportunities in the Investment Committee discussions and memorandums as they relate to the target company. Draft a mandatory action plan for the portfolio companies to be implemented in the next twelve months.
4. Engagement: During the holding period and until the exit, we work with portfolio companies by monitoring their sustainability performance, identifying, and responding to opportunities to create value and manage risk.

- ☐ (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 42	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Which sectors are covered by your organisation's strategy addressing high-emitting sectors?

☒ **(A) Coal**

Describe your strategy:

Coal prospection, exploration, mining or processing. Any business with planned expansion of captive coal used for power and/or heat generation.

☐ (B) Gas

☒ **(C) Oil**

Describe your strategy:

Oil exploration or production, standalone fossil gas exploration and/or production. Standalone fossil gas exploration and/or production.
Crude Oil Pipelines. Oil Refineries

- ☐ (D) Utilities
- ☐ (E) Cement
- ☐ (F) Steel
- ☐ (G) Aviation
- ☐ (H) Heavy duty road
- ☐ (I) Light duty road
- ☐ (J) Shipping
- ☐ (K) Aluminium
- ☒ **(L) Agriculture, forestry, fishery**

Describe your strategy:

Unsustainable fishing methods (e.g. blast fishing and drift net fishing in the marine environment using nets in excess of 2.5 km in length).

☒ **(M) Chemicals**

Describe your strategy:

Ozone-depleting substances, PCB's (Polychlorinated Biphenyls), and other specific, hazardous pharmaceuticals, pesticides/herbicides or chemicals;

☒ **(N) Construction and buildings**

Describe your strategy:

Unbounded asbestos fibers. Construction of new or refurbishment of any existing coal-fired power plant (including dual). Construction of new or refurbishment of any existing HFO-only or diesel-only power plant producing energy for the public grid and leading to an increase of absolute CO2 emissions.

- ☐ (O) Textile and leather
- ☐ (P) Water
- ☐ (Q) Other
- ☐ (R) We do not have a strategy addressing high-emitting sectors

Provide a link(s) to your strategy(ies), if available

<https://www.nexxuscapital.com/responsible-investment-policy/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?

- ☐ (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)
- ☐ (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
- ☐ (C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario
- ☐ (D) Yes, using other scenarios
- ☒ **(E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

☒ **(A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks**

(1) Describe your process

We aim to achieve our portfolio's emission reduction targets not through divestment, but by actively engaging with our companies to reduce their emissions, adopt better practices, and enhance transparency. We are currently exploring optimal metrics and targets to assess physical impact and geopolitical risks. We have conducted analyses to identify concentrated risks from physical impacts due to climate change and their associated geopolitical implications.

We anticipate that reporting and target-setting in this area will evolve as data improves and as investment requirements for climate adaptation become clearer. We continued to support companies to measure greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, set reduction targets, and develop tangible action plans.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

Nexus Sustainability Integration Process

1. Pre-investment: The process begins with an early screening of critical risks, aiming to identify linkage risks. We apply the European Development Finance Institutions (EDFI) exclusion list, which refers to activities, operations, or industries that raise critical environmental, social or reputational risks, including carbon-intensive activities.

2. Due Diligence: Evaluate and document material sustainability risks as part of the investment process. Formal documentation of sustainability considerations is required in all new deals.

3. Investment Committee: Include key risks and opportunities in the Investment Committee discussions and memorandums as they relate to the target company. Draft a mandatory action plan for the portfolio companies to be implemented in the next twelve months.

4. Engagement: During the holding period and until the exit, we work with portfolio companies by monitoring their sustainability performance, identifying, and responding to opportunities to create value and manage risk.

☐ (B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks

☐ (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and publicly disclose?

☐ (A) Exposure to physical risk

☐ (B) Exposure to transition risk

☐ (C) Internal carbon price

☒ **(D) Total carbon emissions**

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

☐ (1) Metric or variable used

☒ **(2) Metric or variable used and disclosed**

☐ (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

<https://www.nexuscapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/Nexus-Sustainability-Report-2022.pdf>

☐ (E) Weighted average carbon intensity

☐ (F) Avoided emissions

- ☐ (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)
- ☐ (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals
- ☐ (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities
- ☐ (J) Other metrics or variables
- ☐ (K) Our organisation did not use or publicly disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

☒ **(A) Scope 1 emissions**

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

☒ **(1) Metric disclosed**

☐ (2) Metric and methodology disclosed

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://www.nexuscapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/Nexus-Sustainability-Report-2022.pdf>

☒ **(B) Scope 2 emissions**

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

☒ **(1) Metric disclosed**

☐ (2) Metric and methodology disclosed

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://www.nexuscapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/Nexus-Sustainability-Report-2022.pdf>

☐ (C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)

☐ (D) Our organisation did not publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

☒ **(A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities**

☐ (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.1	CORE	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☒ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- ☐ (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
- ☐ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
- ☐ (D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- ☐ (E) The EU Taxonomy
- ☐ (F) Other relevant taxonomies
- ☐ (G) The International Bill of Human Rights
- ☐ (H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions
- ☐ (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
- ☒ (J) Other international framework(s)
Specify:
TCFD and SASB
- ☐ (K) Other regional framework(s)
- ☐ (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)
 - ☐ (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.2	CORE	PGS 47	PGS 48	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☒ (A) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- ☒ (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- ☐ (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character
- ☐ (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
- ☒ (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- ☐ (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- ☐ (G) Other method
- ☐ (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48	CORE	PGS 47.2	PGS 48.1, SO 1	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- ☒ (A) Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- ☐ (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48.1	PLUS	PGS 48	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Why has your organisation taken action on specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☒ (A) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes is relevant to our financial risks and returns over both short- and long-term horizons
- ☐ (B) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes, although not yet relevant to our financial risks and returns, will become so over a long-time horizon
- ☐ (C) We have been requested to do so by our clients and/or beneficiaries
- ☐ (D) We want to prepare for and respond to legal and regulatory developments that are increasingly addressing sustainability outcomes
- ☐ (E) We want to protect our reputation, particularly in the event of negative sustainability outcomes connected to investments
- ☐ (F) We want to enhance our social licence-to-operate (i.e. the trust of beneficiaries, clients, and other stakeholders)
- ☐ (G) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes in parallel to financial return goals has merit in its own right
- ☐ (H) Other

HUMAN RIGHTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49	PLUS	PGS 47	PGS 49.1	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, what steps did your organisation take to identify and take action on the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to your investment activities?

- ☐ (A) We assessed the human rights context of our potential and/or existing investments and projected how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes
- ☐ (B) We assessed whether individuals at risk or already affected might be at heightened risk of harm
- ☐ (C) We consulted with individuals and groups who were at risk or already affected, their representatives and/or other relevant stakeholders such as human rights experts
- ☒ (D) We took other steps to assess and manage the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to our investment activities

Specify:

We put in place our sustainability investment methodology that enables us to identify and evaluate ESG risk associated with our investments in the Private Debt Fund. Once we gathered the information through a due diligence questionnaire, we drafted an action plan to improve our portfolio companies' performance sequentially.

Explain how these activities were conducted:

The responsibility for identifying, assessing, and managing ESG risks and opportunities lies with the investment teams, which work in partnership with Nexus' subject matter expert, the Head of IR and Sustainability, who also engages with portfolio companies and external stakeholders on ESG matters regularly.

- (E) We did not identify and take action on the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to any of our investment activities during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49.1	PLUS	PGS 49	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, which stakeholder groups did your organisation include when identifying and taking action on the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to your investment activities?

☒ **(A) Workers**

Sector(s) for which each stakeholder group was included

- ☐ (1) Energy
- ☒ **(2) Materials**
- ☐ (3) Industrials
- ☒ **(4) Consumer discretionary**
- ☐ (5) Consumer staples
- ☐ (6) Healthcare
- ☒ **(7) Finance**
- ☐ (8) Information technology
- ☐ (9) Communication services
- ☐ (10) Utilities
- ☒ **(11) Real estate**
- ☐ (B) Communities
- ☐ (C) Customers and end-users
- ☐ (D) Other stakeholder groups

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49.2	PLUS	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, what information sources did your organisation use to identify the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to its investment activities?

☒ **(A) Corporate disclosures**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Through the annual answers provided in the sustainability due diligence questionnaire and public reports when available

- ☐ (B) Media reports
- ☐ (C) Reports and other information from NGOs and human rights institutions
- ☐ (D) Country reports, for example, by multilateral institutions, e.g. OECD, World Bank
- ☐ (E) Data provider scores or benchmarks
- ☐ (F) Human rights violation alerts
- ☐ (G) Sell-side research

- ☐ (H) Investor networks or other investors
- ☐ (I) Information provided directly by affected stakeholders or their representatives
- ☐ (J) Social media analysis
- ☐ (K) Other

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 50	PLUS	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, did your organisation, directly or through influence over investees, enable access to remedy for people affected by negative human rights outcomes connected to your investment activities?

- ☐ (A) Yes, we enabled access to remedy directly for people affected by negative human rights outcomes we caused or contributed to through our investment activities
- ☒ **(B) Yes, we used our influence to ensure that our investees provided access to remedies for people affected by negative human rights outcomes we were linked to through our investment activities**

Describe:

We have detected a primary negative human right: the gender pay gap. Accordingly, 71% of all action plans for 2023 include actions on the gender gap.

- (C) No, we did not enable access to remedy directly, or through the use of influence over investees, for people affected by negative human rights outcomes connected to our investment activities during the reporting year

FIXED INCOME (FI)

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your fixed income assets?

(4) Private debt

(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors

(1) for all of our AUM

(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors

(1) for all of our AUM

(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors depending on different investment time horizons

(1) for all of our AUM

(D) No, we do not have a formal process; our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion

○

(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors

○

PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

For the majority of your fixed income investments, does your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when assessing their credit quality?

(4) Private debt

(A) We incorporate material environmental and social factors



(B) We incorporate material governance-related factors



(C) We do not incorporate material ESG factors for the majority of our fixed income investments



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

Does your organisation have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector?

(4) Private debt

(A) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by country and/or region (e.g. local governance and labour practices)

(B) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by sector

(1) for all of our AUM

(C) No, we do not have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector



(D) Not applicable; we are not able to differentiate ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector due to the limited universe of our issuers

o

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 5	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How does your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence phase?

☒ **(A) We use a qualitative ESG checklist**

Select from dropdown list:

- ☒ **(1) in all cases**
- ☐ (2) in a majority of cases
- ☐ (3) in a minority of cases

☒ **(B) We assess quantitative information on material ESG factors, such as energy consumption, carbon footprint and gender diversity**

Select from dropdown list:

- ☒ **(1) in all cases**
- ☐ (2) in a majority of cases
- ☐ (3) in a minority of cases

☒ **(C) We check whether the target company has its own responsible investment policy, sustainability policy or ESG policy**

Select from dropdown list:

- ☒ **(1) in all cases**
- ☐ (2) in a majority of cases
- ☐ (3) in a minority of cases

☐ **(D) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors where internal capabilities are not available**

☒ **(E) We require the review and sign-off of our ESG due diligence process by our investment committee, or the equivalent function**

Select from dropdown list:

- ☒ **(1) in all cases**
- ☐ (2) in a majority of cases
- ☐ (3) in a minority of cases

☒ **(F) We use industry-recognised responsible investment due diligence questionnaire (DDQ) templates**

Select from dropdown list:

- ☒ **(1) in all cases**
- ☐ (2) in a majority of cases
- ☐ (3) in a minority of cases

☐ **(G) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence process**

☐ **(H) We do not incorporate material ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence phase**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 6	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How do you incorporate significant changes in material ESG factors over time into your fixed income asset valuation process?

(3) Private debt

(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of financial metrics or other quantitative assessments

(1) for all of our AUM

(B) We make a qualitative assessment of how material ESG factors may evolve

(1) for all of our AUM

(C) We do not incorporate significant changes in material ESG factors

o

POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 11	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

How are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

(4) Private debt

(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function or group, can veto investment decisions based on ESG considerations

(1) for all of our AUM

(B) Companies, sectors, countries and/or currencies are monitored for changes in exposure to material ESG factors and any breaches of risk limits

(1) for all of our AUM

(C) Overall exposure to specific material ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on the individual issuer or issue sensitivity to these factors

(D) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process

(E) We do not have a process to incorporate material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process

o

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 12	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For the majority of your fixed income assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?

(4) Private debt

(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual fixed income holdings



(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for other fixed income holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents



(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for our stewardship activities



(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents



(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents; our investment professionals identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion



(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process



PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 13	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Performance monitoring	1

During the reporting year, how did your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when monitoring private debt investments?

☒ (A) We used a qualitative ESG checklist

Select from dropdown list:

- ☒ (1) in all cases
- ☐ (2) in the majority of cases
- ☐ (3) in the minority of cases

☒ (B) We assessed quantitative information on material ESG factors, such as energy consumption, carbon footprint and gender diversity

Select from dropdown list:

- ☒ (1) in all cases
- ☐ (2) in the majority of cases
- ☐ (3) in the minority of cases

☐ (C) We hired third-party consultants to do technical assessment on specific material ESG factors where internal capabilities were not available

☒ (D) We used industry body guidelines

Select from dropdown list:

- ☐ (1) in all cases
- ☒ (2) in the majority of cases
- ☐ (3) in the minority of cases

☐ (E) We used another method to incorporate material ESG factors into the monitoring of private debt investments

☐ (F) We did not incorporate material ESG factors when monitoring private debt investments

PRIVATE EQUITY (PE)

POLICY

INVESTMENT GUIDELINES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

What private equity-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policy(ies)?

- ☒ (A) Guidelines on our ESG approach tailored to the sector(s) and geography(ies) where we invest
- ☐ (B) Guidelines on our ESG approach tailored to the strategy(ies) and company stage(s) where we invest, e.g. venture capital, buy-out and distressed
- ☒ (C) Guidelines on pre-investment screening
- ☒ (D) Guidelines on minimum ESG due diligence requirements
- ☒ (E) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into short-term or 100-day plans (or equivalent)
- ☒ (F) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into long-term value-creation efforts
- ☒ (G) Guidelines on our approach to monitoring ESG risks, ESG opportunities and ESG incidents
- ☒ (H) Guidelines on our approach to ESG reporting
- ☐ (I) Our responsible investment policy(ies) does not cover private equity-specific ESG guidelines

FUNDRAISING

COMMITMENTS TO INVESTORS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

For all of the funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs), side letters or other constitutive fund documents?

- ☒ (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) as a standard default procedure
- ☐ (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) upon clients' request
- ☐ (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon clients' request
- ☐ (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- ☐ (E) Not applicable; we have not raised funds in the last five years

PRE-INVESTMENT

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 3	CORE	OO 21	PE 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential private equity investments?

☒ (A) We assessed ESG materiality at the portfolio company level, as each case is unique

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - ☐ (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
 - ☐ (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (B) We performed a mix of industry-level and portfolio company-level ESG materiality analyses
- ☐ (C) We assessed ESG materiality at the industry level only
- ☐ (D) We did not conduct ESG materiality analyses for our potential private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 3.1	CORE	PE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential private equity investments?

- ☐ (A) We used GRI standards to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☒ (B) We used SASB standards to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☒ (C) We used the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☐ (D) We used environmental and social factors detailed in the IFC Performance Standards (or other similar standards used by development-focused financial institutions) in our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☒ (E) We used climate disclosures, such as the TCFD recommendations or other climate risk and/or exposure analysis tools, to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☐ (F) We used the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☐ (G) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☐ (H) We engaged with the prospective portfolio company to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☐ (I) Other

DUE DILIGENCE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

During the reporting year, how did material ESG factors influence the selection of your private equity investments?

☒ (A) Material ESG factors were used to identify risks

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

☒ (B) Material ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

☒ (C) Material ESG factors were used to identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

☒ (D) Material ESG factors were used to identify opportunities for value creation

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

☐ (E) Material ESG factors informed our decision to abandon potential investments in the due diligence phase in cases where ESG risks were considered too high to mitigate

☐ (F) Material ESG factors impacted investments in terms of the price offered and/or paid

☐ (G) Material ESG factors did not influence the selection of our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 5	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential private equity investments?

☒ (A) We do a high-level or desktop review using an ESG checklist for initial red flags

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

☒ (B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target companies

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

☐ (C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors

☐ (D) We conduct site visits

- ☒ (E) We conduct in-depth interviews with management and/or personnel
Select from dropdown list
- ☒ (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - ☐ (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - ☐ (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (F) We conduct detailed external stakeholder analyses and/or engagement
- ☒ (G) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal
Select from dropdown list
- ☒ (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - ☐ (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - ☐ (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☒ (H) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting, and legal
Select from dropdown list
- ☒ (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - ☐ (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - ☐ (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (I) Other
- ☐ (J) We do not conduct due diligence on material ESG factors for potential private equity investments

POST-INVESTMENT

MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 6	CORE	OO 21	PE 6.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

During the reporting year, did you track one or more KPIs on material ESG factors across your private equity investments?

- ☒ (A) Yes, we tracked KPIs on environmental factors
Percentage of portfolio companies this applies to:
- ☐ (1) >0 to 10%
 - ☒ (2) >10 to 50%
 - ☐ (3) >50 to 75%
 - ☐ (4) >75 to 95%
 - ☐ (5) >95%
- ☒ (B) Yes, we tracked KPIs on social factors
Percentage of portfolio companies this applies to:
- ☐ (1) >0 to 10%
 - ☐ (2) >10 to 50%
 - ☐ (3) >50 to 75%
 - ☒ (4) >75 to 95%
 - ☐ (5) >95%
- ☒ (C) Yes, we tracked KPIs on governance factors
Percentage of portfolio companies this applies to:
- ☐ (1) >0 to 10%
 - ☐ (2) >10 to 50%
 - ☐ (3) >50 to 75%
 - ☒ (4) >75 to 95%
 - ☐ (5) >95%
- ☐ (D) We did not track KPIs on material ESG factors across our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 6.1	PLUS	PE 6	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

Provide examples of KPIs on material ESG factors you tracked across your private equity investments during the reporting year.

(A) ESG KPI #1

Board of directors composition

(B) ESG KPI #2

Management and workforce composition

(C) ESG KPI #3

Number of full-time employees

(D) ESG KPI #4

Number of full-time employees who joined the Company during the reporting period

(E) ESG KPI #5

Number of full-time employees who left the Company during the reporting period

(F) ESG KPI #6

Number of work-related accidents

(G) ESG KPI #7

Number of work-related accidents that resulted in fatalities

(H) ESG KPI #8

(I) ESG KPI #9

(J) ESG KPI #10

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 7	CORE	OO 21	PE 7.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

What processes do you have in place to support meeting your targets on material ESG factors for your private equity investments?

- ☐ (A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of portfolio companies against sector performance
- ☐ (B) We implement international best practice standards, such as the IFC Performance Standards, to guide ongoing assessments and analyses
- ☐ (C) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio
- ☐ (D) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed are established
- ☐ (E) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems, and procedures
- ☐ (F) We conduct ongoing engagement with all key stakeholders at the portfolio company level, e.g. local communities, NGOs, governments, and end-users
- ☒ **(G) We implement 100-day plans, ESG roadmaps and similar processes**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - ☐ (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - ☐ (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - ☒ **(3) for a minority of our private equity investments**
- ☐ (H) Other

- (I) We do not have processes in place to help meet our targets on material ESG factors for our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 8	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

Describe material ESG risks and ESG opportunities that you integrate into your 100-day plans, including those accountable for their successful completion and how the process is monitored.

In 2023, Nexxus raised an industrial real estate fund. The 100-day plan includes actions on corporate governance, climate action, water management, and gender equity. The Fund's inaugural report communicated the sustainability action plan to our investors, and we will disclose the related annual KPIs.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 9	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG risks and ESG opportunities to create value during the holding period of your investments?

☒ **(A) We develop company-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- **(3) for a minority of our private equity investments**

☐ (B) We adjust our ESG action plans based on performance monitoring findings at least yearly

☐ (C) We, or the external advisors that we hire, support our private equity investments with specific ESG value-creation opportunities

☒ **(D) We engage with the board to manage ESG risks and ESG opportunities post-investment**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- **(3) for a minority of our private equity investments**

☐ (E) Other

○ (F) We do not manage material ESG risks and opportunities post-investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 11	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	2

Describe how your ESG action plans are currently defined, implemented and monitored throughout the investment period.

Action plans are the result of the sustainability due diligence process. Once we have gathered all the information in the DDQ, including the SASB sectorial KPIs, a findings report is presented to the portfolio company, proposing a five-action plan to be implemented in the next 12 months. The proposal includes KPIs to be reported and deadlines. Once the portfolio company agrees, they sign a commitment letter. Nexxus' Head of Sustainability oversees the deadlines and is a facilitator to help the portfolio companies achieve all KPIs in the expected time frame. The quarterly reports communicate the Action Plan and annual KPIs to our investors.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 12	CORE	OO 21	PE 12.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

How do you ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level?

- ☐ (A) We assign the board responsibility for ESG matters
- ☒ **(B) We ensure that material ESG matters are discussed by the board at least yearly**
 Select from dropdown list
- ☐ (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - ☒ **(2) for a majority of our private equity investments**
 - ☐ (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☒ **(C) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the portfolio company to C-suite executives only**
 Select from dropdown list
- ☐ (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - ☒ **(2) for a majority of our private equity investments**
 - ☐ (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☒ **(D) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the portfolio company to employees (excl. C-suite executives)**
 Select from dropdown list
- ☐ (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - ☒ **(2) for a majority of our private equity investments**
 - ☐ (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☒ **(E) We support the portfolio company in developing and implementing its ESG strategy**
 Select from dropdown list
- ☐ (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - ☒ **(2) for a majority of our private equity investments**
 - ☐ (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☒ **(F) We support portfolio companies by finding external ESG expertise, e.g. consultants or auditors**
 Select from dropdown list
- ☐ (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - ☐ (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - ☒ **(3) for a minority of our private equity investments**
- ☐ (G) We share best practices across portfolio companies, e.g. educational sessions or the implementation of environmental and social management systems
- ☐ (H) We include penalties or incentives to improve ESG performance in management remuneration schemes
- ☐ (I) Other
- ☐ (J) We do not ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 12.1	PLUS	PE 12	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

Describe up to two initiatives taken as part of your ESG competence-building efforts at the portfolio company level during the reporting year.

(A) Initiative 1

Sustainability training for executives and C-level before the due diligence process to help them understand the nature of the questions and the importance of gathering accurate information.

(B) Initiative 2

In-house calculation of their greenhouse emissions, scope 1 and 2, to have a baseline for all portfolio companies.

EXIT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 13	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6

During the reporting year, what responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of private equity investments?

- ☒ (A) Our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment, e.g. that we are a PRI signatory
 - Select from dropdown list
 - ☒ (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - ☐ (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - ☐ (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☒ (B) A description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with, e.g. TCFD
 - Select from dropdown list
 - ☒ (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - ☐ (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - ☐ (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☒ (C) Our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)
 - Select from dropdown list
 - ☒ (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - ☐ (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - ☐ (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☒ (D) Our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered in-house and/or with external support)
 - Select from dropdown list
 - ☐ (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - ☒ (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - ☐ (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☐ (E) The outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment on the asset or portfolio company
- ☐ (F) Key ESG performance data on the asset or portfolio company being sold
- ☐ (G) Other
 - ☐ (H) No responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of private equity investments during the reporting year
 - ☐ (I) Not applicable; we had no sales process (or control over the sales process) during the reporting year

DISCLOSURE OF ESG PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 14	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report your targets on material ESG factors and related data to your investors?

- ☒ (A) We used a publicly disclosed sustainability report
- ☒ (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors
- ☒ (C) We reported at the portfolio company level through formal reporting to investors
- ☐ (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)
- ☐ (E) We reported back at digital or physical events or meetings with investors
- ☐ (F) We had a process in place to ensure that reporting on serious ESG incidents occurred

☐ (G) Other

- ☐ (H) We did not report our targets on material ESG factors and related data to our investors during the reporting year

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- ☐ (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- ☐ (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- ☐ (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- ☐ (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- ☐ (E) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to verify that our funds comply with our responsible investment policy
- ☐ (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- ☒ (G) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- ☐ (H) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal review	6

Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

- ☐ (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- ☒ (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent
 - Sections of PRI report reviewed
 - ☒ (1) the entire report
 - ☐ (2) selected sections of the report
 - ☐ (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year